Russia is fighting to preserve humanity

The West has no image of the future: chipization, artificial intelligence and dehumanization, LGBT, destruction of the family, cessation of all forms of human identity - this is an image of death, not the future

User avatar Sergey Glazyev

account_circleSergey Glazyevaccess_timeJune 16, 2022remove_red_eye32,826

print 16 6 2022

The RUSSTRAT Institute presents a transcript of the speech of Sergei Glazyev, member of the Board (Minister) for Integration and Macroeconomics of the EEC, at the round table "Russia: what image of the future meets the goals of national development?" held on June 1, 2022 in the REGNUM Information Agency, organized by the RUSSTRAT Institute and the REGNUM Information Agency.

We are now turning to the fundamental questions of our existence. And a special military operation is a catalyst for this process of understanding our place in the world and, of course, we need an image of the future. I would like to draw your attention to the fact that the special military operation, which was initially announced as denazification, demilitarization - we understand what this means for Ukraine - now on this front the stakes have gradually begun to rise.

Everyone is already saying that this is a global hybrid war, although it was clear from the very beginning that the special operation should be considered in a much broader context. Now many people believe that this is a civilizational war, where different ideological systems are opposed. It is clear that this is a war between good and evil and a war for the ultimate survival of humanity.

Before talking about the image of our future, I would like to draw your attention to the patterns of long-term socio-economic and political development. We - I mean a group of scientists at the Academy of Sciences who work in long cycles of economic and social development - firstly, managed to foresee this particular war of 2022. Back in 1414, it was clear that the challenge we faced, which resulted in reunification with Crimea, would certainly affect the entire Russian world, including the territory of Ukraine. I even published a book, "The Last World War: The United States Starts and Loses."

Somewhere, what we see today was absolutely almost precisely formulated, including the number of the Armed Forces of Ukraine and the role of the Americans and British in this occupation of Ukraine, as well as the cultivation of Ukrainian Nazism. All this was predicted almost to the detail. We continue our research. According to which the peak of the confrontation will occur in 2024. This is a forecast that my colleague gave 10 years ago, even before the current military operation and even before 2014. And then there were no new political seven-year cycles.

Why 2024 and why are we in this situation of hybrid war? The fact is that the modern period is characterized by two simultaneously occurring revolutionary events. The first is the technological revolution, which has been talked about a lot before. It is called differently, we say that it is a change in technological structures. And this change in technological structures always occurs through an economic depression, which in this cycle began in the world in 2008 - with the beginning of the global financial crisis.

And during this transitional phase, a new technological structure has already formed, a well-known complex of nano-engineering information and communication technologies, which is evolving not only into the economy. But also in the methods of conducting combat operations. We actually see that we are not just facing an enemy that is based in the Pentagon and Mi-6. Our troops are faced with artificial intelligence. This is already a war of a new technological order.

But a more important point is the change in world economic structures in the context of our current topic. The change of national economic structures is a process that occurs once every century and during which the management system changes. Previously, we would have called this a process of sociopolitical revolution, but, speaking in a modern way, this is a radical change in the institutions of world economic relations, production relations and, in fact, the entire system of managing socio-economic development, which is accompanied by a change in the centers of the world economy.

The world economy is rapidly shifting to Southeast Asia, which already accounts for more than half of the growth in gross domestic product. And in this new center of the world economy, a completely different management system has been formed compared to the one in which we live today. I must say that the change in world economic structures, as you see in this picture above. These are world economic structures with a change cycle once a century. And below are technological, a change in technological structures, for which the cycle of change phases is about 50 years.

Technological structures are well known in the literature as long Kondratiev waves; more precisely, the life cycles of technological structures and their growth phase are a long "Kondratiev wave." The growth phase of the world economic system is a secular cycle of capital accumulation.

Once a century, a dangerous resonance occurs when we simultaneously face a technological revolution, a socio-economic, and a socio-political revolution. During this process, not only the technology changes, but also the mindset changes. The ideology is changing, if you like.

As an example, let us cite the previous phase of the change in world economic structures. This is a process that, unfortunately, always occurs through world wars. World wars in this case are due to the fact that the ruling elite of the previous center of the world economy does not want to part with its hegemony and is trying with all its might to maintain it, right up to the outbreak of a world war . 100 years ago, when the colonial world economic system changed to the imperial one, the world went through two wars, through the First and Second World Wars, between which there was a great depression.

The colonial world economic order is a system of industrial relations based on the private family firm. Politically, the greatest scope of this world economic system was the British Empire. Where the combination of institutions of public administration, the core of which was the monarchical rule of Great Britain, with private capitalist entrepreneurship, gave birth to the English bourgeois oligarchy, which was able to organize large monopolies of the trade and manufacturing type, which ensured Britain's dominance on the seas and oceans.

This is the world economic structure of the colonies, where the Russian Empire also played a significant role. This way of life had exhausted its development possibilities by the end of the 19th century. This was due to the fact that the possibilities of using slave labor had been exhausted. The model is well described by Marx. When people were traded as living goods on a huge scale - not only in the colonies,

but also in the metropolises. People were exploited 12 hours a day, seven days a week. Private capital used such labor as the main source of enrichment. There was no labor law, trade unions, or welfare state. All this appeared with the imperial world economic system.

But here it is important to understand that Great Britain has reached the limits of development and countries with more progressive management systems have begun to step on its heels. Including the Russian Empire, Germany, Austria-Hungary, USA. British intelligence services provoked the First World War, which resulted in Britain becoming a world leader. It would seem that it intensified as much as possible, but only 20 years passed - and the Great Depression was not involved. No measures to save the British Empire helped. They then - like the United States against China today - waged a trade war against the United States and imposed an embargo on the import of American goods.

The end result was that during the Second World War, although the British Empire remained among the victors, it was unable to take advantage of the victory due to the archaic nature of its control system. Nobody needed her anymore. It no longer provided any economic progress. Economic growth based on the exploitation of slave labor ceased to produce a surplus product. The British Empire collapsed just 2 years after World War II. This is important for us now from the point of view of historical analogy.

New world economic structure. We called it imperial because for the first time in the world it covered almost the entire planet. Two thirds of the world. The other third was the Soviet Union. Its world economic structure was based on a social state, on vertically integrated large production structures. On the issue of money and the use of money not so much as capital, but as a tool for financing economic growth.

In general, the world economic structure came in three ideological varieties. The first two are well known to us. The Soviet system with scientific and production associations led by the Communist Party, which built socialism with claims to communism. The American system, which was based on transnational corporations and the endless issue of dollars, which allowed them to expand worldwide.

And the third system, which sank into oblivion thanks to the feat of the Soviet people, is the system of European fascism, in which German National Socialism, together with the Italian corporate state and with Nazis of all stripes from various other European countries, tried to impose on the world their Nazi version of this world economic system.

Let me note that the colonial world economic system actually turned out to be completely uncompetitive. Britain lost the war in Europe to German fascism in just two years, and only the power of the Soviet Union and the help of the United States, a country that already had a new system of government, managed to crush this fascist scenario. And then the colonial system of Britain gave a long life.

That is, this historical experience shows that a change in world economic structures passes through the public consciousness, of course. And the emergence of a new management system, and this is primarily relations between people, cannot but be combined with a new system of ideas, views and principles.

Now we have a similar process going on. We are moving from the imperial world economic system, where only the United States remains. There, this transition began with the collapse of the Soviet Union. It has been going on for 30 years, just like 100 years ago. Just as the previous transition lasted practically from 14 to 1947. So the current transition has lasted for a third of a century.

After the collapse of the Soviet Union, which was the first to not withstand the demands of scientific and technological progress, we now see the collapse of the United States. The US is no longer a world leader. In an attempt to overcome the global financial crisis by pumping money, the United States ultimately led to the breakdown of the entire financial system and growing inflation, which has already reached 30% at enterprise prices.

We saw the self-discrediting of the American system in the last presidential elections, which were actually rigged. America is not a more attractive image. In addition, compared to China and India, which have been doing brilliantly over the past 15 years, both the US and the EU, despite quadrupling the monetary base, have not been able to achieve sustainable economic development. The effectiveness of the Western management system - if we take it in terms of efficiency - money emission is 20-25%. Only every 4th or 5th euro that is issued ends up in the manufacturing sector.

In China and India, a fundamentally different management system has emerged, which combines strategic central planning with market competition, where the state plays a dominant role in organizing monetary circulation and provides private businesses with unlimited access to money if this leads to an increase in public welfare.

All forecasts show that by the end of this decade, the old world economic structure will be reduced by more than approximately half by now, and the core of the Asian accumulation cycle - China, India, the countries of Indochina, Japan, Korea - will already absolutely dominate in all macroeconomic indicators.

This process is irreversible, but the closer this transition is obvious to everyone, the fewer forces remain in the core of the old world economic system, the more aggressive they become. And here the same mechanism of hybrid war is at work, which the British used in both the First World War and the Second World War. We called them hybrid because the wars were fought over territory.

Within the framework of the new world economic order, wars are now fought for consciousness, for the minds of citizens of different countries and, unlike the war of the last century, the current war is a war, first of all, for dominance in the public consciousness. Therefore, the main front is the information-cognitive front. Here questions of ideology are the main ones.

The second most important front is the monetary and financial front, where the United States and the European Union still dominate. And only in third place are tanks, missiles and aircraft used, which, in fact, within the framework of this hybrid world war, are called upon to punish the vanquished. That is, to intimidate, destroy any desire to resist, and the like.

Just as Great Britain unleashed the First World War, when it already understood that the combined power of Germany, Russia, and Austria-Hungary exceeded that of Britain and only a few years remained for a turning point in world leadership, so the American ruling elite started a global hybrid war. At the same time, as we see, the US trade war against China is unfolding. The sanctions war against us has already been going on for more than 8 years - and this escalation of geopolitical tensions today is resulting in dramatic events in which we are fully involved today.

How does the new world economic structure differ from the previous, imperial one? Please note that the state that has been formed today in China and India, it absorbs all the achievements of state building of previous eras. This is a social state. This is a democratic, legal state, but at the same time it is

sovereign. That is, the peculiarity of the new world economic structure is that the leading state does not try to impose its models on all other countries .

If there were three models in the imperial world economic system, two of which took place - Soviet and American, and the third, which did not take place - the German fascist. They tried to remake the whole world in their own image and likeness. Create the same fractals everywhere as in the center.

In the new world economic order, world economic sovereignty is restored. This is a fundamentally important point. The state in this new world economic order is restoring moral values. This is a humane state, fair, intellectual, responsible and, to put it briefly, ideologically - it is a socialist state.

But in China we see the familiar image of the Communist Party at the head of this state. India has the largest democracy in the world, but let's not discount Gandhian socialism. All these traditions are alive and the management models - I draw your attention - in China and India are quite close. State control over the banking system, unlimited lending for production growth, use of the market for the purposes of economic efficiency of market competition.

The state stimulates private entrepreneurship, and stimulates it unlimitedly if it generates income. Hence the increase in the welfare of the people. If private entrepreneurship is conducted destructively, engages in speculation, or tries to profit from destabilizing the economy, such entrepreneurship is strictly blocked. Both China and India have strict exchange controls and the export of capital is not allowed. Money is provided from 0% to 4-6%, depending on the priority of loans. Targeted credit issuance is widely used as a strategic planning tool.

We called it integral because the state gathers society here. It gathers different social groups around the main criterion - the rise of social welfare. Accordingly, all economic policy is based on this criterion. Let us compare the essence of economic policy pursued in the new world economic order.

Here, comparing the existing models of the Washington consensus, I will draw attention to the main difference. First, the purpose of economics is not to make money in any way. The goal of economics is to improve social welfare.

Therefore, hence the strategic planning, hence the use of money as a tool. Hence - progressive taxation and practical measures to ensure social justice. All the requirements of the social state are in education and healthcare. Which should be free and ensure the reproduction of human capital in the most complete way. The tax system of the tax budget is focused on development, and not simply on the so-called "functions of a police bureaucratic state." Prices are regulated based on the desired proportions of economic reproduction .

Cooperation dominates in labor relations, and the antagonism between labor and capital completely disappears. In our understanding, the dominant form of private property is the national enterprise. An enterprise in which workers are also owners.

This is how Huawei, Xiaomi and others grow from cooperatives. That is, this is an economy of social partnership, where money, profit and everything that plagues our economy with the export of capital, all this is limited in the system of economic regulation so that people's income grows. And the entrepreneur grew in proportion to his contribution to the rise of public welfare.

And here there are no questions about whether industrial, agricultural, scientific and technical policies are needed. These are all obvious key areas of government policy that manage the market mechanism in the way it needs to improve social welfare.

Moving now to the ideological versions of this new world economic order. The construction of socialism continues in China. Although with Chinese characteristics, it must be understood in this way. If Soviet socialism sought to make the whole world happy, we wanted to achieve a socialist system throughout the world, spending a lot of money on it, then socialism with Chinese characteristics means that the socialist idea and the national idea go together.

And in China we see the following slogans: this is a society of universal prosperity, this is the great revival of the Chinese nation. That is, here the idea of socialism and positive nationalism, which does not claim national exclusivity, but puts the welfare of its own country at the forefront, is a key characteristic

We see the same in India. A combination of socialism, market economy and national idea. The national idea, although it is applied in terms of increasing well-being. This is understandable for a country that has a gigantic population that has lived in poverty for centuries. For them, the rise in prosperity is a certain key moment, no national idea. Moreover, unlike Soviet socialism, which grew up in an environment of a "besieged fortress" and at the same time inevitably had mobilization features and the suppression of personal freedom for the sake of saving society and the state, there are no such risks here. Neither in China nor in India.

But it is obvious that the further development of the world in the foreseeable future will take place in the same way as in the 20th century, where there was competition between the communist Soviet Union and democratic America. Now the confrontation will unfold between the "democratic West," communist China and democratic India. The question is where we will be.

There is a third version of this ideology of the new world economic order. In fact, it is a continuation of the Nazi Western, so to speak, ideological vector. Racism dominated the English Empire in the 19th century, and Nazism dominated Europe for a long time during the Second World War.

And now the successors of this misanthropic form are filling it with the content of posthumanism. Electronic concentration camp, everyone must march in formation and obey the requirements of the World Health Organization or other equivalents of the world government.

That is, this is the idea of a transition to a post-humanistic, post-human state, when people are seen as instruments of manipulation. More precisely, the object of manipulation - and the ideology is built to atomize society.

That is, the main ideological vector is the deprivation of people of any collective identity. National identity, gender identity. In general, even human identity. People begin to perceive themselves as whatever they want - cyborgs, animals, plants.

Dehumanization is taking place, and this kind of posthuman material is built into easily manipulated and artificial intelligence, replacing, in general, ideologists, imposing its own models of behavior on this posthumanity and forcing people to behave in the way that the world's artificial oligarchic governance needs .

At the same time, we see the development of methods that make it possible to bring this post-human society to any state. Up to collective self-destruction. I am sure that if they start injecting a vaccine with some kind of poison, there are already mechanisms in place, the majority will line up and take this vaccine.

We are in this transitional world state on the periphery, frankly speaking. After the collapse of the Soviet Union, we found ourselves on the economic periphery of the United States. Our country was used as a typical peripheral country, from which resources were pumped in billions of tons, capital was pumped out. There is a brain drain from us to where, in fact, the Bologna system was needed.

Those who pushed the Bologna system were still embarrassed to say directly - the Bologna system is needed in order to alleviate the brain drain. That we trained qualified personnel here for free, they could easily and naturally move around, get a master's degree abroad and stay there. They made us into a peripheral country with all its characteristics.

Now, after the West went to war against us and erected barriers in almost all directions, we are talking about a change in the management paradigm. But we must understand that so far this change in our management system has not even affected us at all. It is on that side that barriers have been erected that have undermined our management system. That is, they made it difficult to export capital, but I note that as soon as the situation has stabilized a little, our monetary authorities again begin to export capital.

They are starting to stimulate the export of capital again! Either take it in rubles or take our money anyway! That is, our "monetary power" works the same as before. They are not given the opportunity to create conditions for the outflow of capital; in fact, the possibility of applying the budget rule has been abolished, but all this still remains and the budget rule has not gone away yet. It simply cannot be used in conditions of seizure of foreign exchange reserves.

Mandatory sale of foreign currency earnings was introduced in order to stabilize the exchange rate. Now they want to continue to leave foreign exchange earnings abroad, perhaps they will confiscate them in the same way as state foreign exchange reserves. That is, our monetary policy remains deeply peripheral; it still follows the rules of the IMF and the Washington Consensus.

Due to the sanctions, society suddenly realized that we are a very rich country, that we could live twice as well as we live, because the outflow of capital has stopped. As soon as capital outflow stopped, the ruble immediately rose, purchasing power increased by 1.5 times and the ruble strengthened further. That is, until now the main goal function of the "monetary authorities" has been the enrichment of currency speculators.

This is exactly what the Central Bank worked for. Inflation targeting is ridiculous, because the main factor of inflation is the devaluation of the ruble exchange rate in a situation where the formation of the ruble exchange rate is carried out by speculators, primarily international speculators. And only 5% of transactions on the Moscow Exchange are transactions for exporters and importers.

It is obvious that the ruble exchange rate has been manipulated all these 8 years. As a result, tens of billions of dollars were taken out of Russia. It was currency speculators, where American speculators played the main role, who were the main beneficiary of the monetary policy being pursued. Now, due to

political sanctions, this has become impossible. We are forced, forced to move to a new management system.

What kind of management system should there be? There is no need to guess here. We see examples of the new management system that has taken place in China and India. This is, of course, a mixed management system, where the main setting works to improve public welfare. The state is engaged in strategic planning.

Here is Elena Vladimirovna Panina, one of the authors of the law on strategic planning, who put a lot of effort into its promotion. We have had the law for many years. But at first its implementation was delayed; now it ends with tens of thousands of strategic planning documents being written, but there are no mechanisms for its implementation.

Although if you look, we have everything separately. A mechanism of private and public partnerships, special contracts, multilateral investment agreements, special refinancing instruments that the Central Bank could use to provide cheap loans to increase investment. Separately, all this is there, but as a whole the system does not work.

We have been proposing for many years to move to a system of advanced development, where the emphasis would be on strategy and modernization of economic development based on a new technological structure. According to our estimates, we could achieve growth of at least 8% per year, using idle production capacities, saturating the economy with money and allowing enterprises to receive loans at a maximum of 2-3% for the final borrower, for financing investments, for increasing production.

This is the fullest use of our scientific and technical potential, this is in-depth processing of raw materials. We have no restrictions on economic growth, except for the artificial high cost of credit created by the "monetary authorities." Enterprises cannot take out a loan at an interest rate other than 18% or 11%; they need to provide a loan at 1-3% per annum, as is done in China and India.

Here in the Eurasian Union we are fighting the export of round timber, we have introduced an embargo, but for some reason the timber is being taken to China. Because in China, the state allocated as much money as was needed, at 0.2% per annum for 10 years, so that businesses could create an infrastructure for processing, packaging and harvesting Siberian timber.

They created the infrastructure, they then began to provide interest-free seasonal loans to our loggers and, not being able to take a loan from us, our loggers go to China and take out loans there. And they bring timber to them - voluntarily and without any coercion. Within the country, they do not have the opportunity to take out loans to harvest timber during the season and then, after selling the products, return them back.

That is, we see a real possibility of achieving high growth rates of at least 8% per year, so I cannot agree with the forecasts of our official departments, which predict for us (following Washington) minus 8% this year. Where does minus 8% come from? From the World Bank and Monetary Fund.

From Washington they are trying to impose on us such a pessimistic forecast that "you will have minus 10 because you have started a military operation." Where does the minus 10% figure come from? The EU is cutting off exports to Russia and imports from Russia too. Further, using an econometric model, they hypothesize that Russian foreign trade will shrink by 30%. And according to this model, which, in

essence, is an extrapolation of existing relationships in the economy, they say that in this case you will have minus 10% of GDP. Although the president tells us that we need to take advantage of opportunities.

At the last Eurasian Economic Forum, he said that foreign companies are leaving - and maybe it's better, let them leave, let's create these industries ourselves. Let's do import substitution. Let's fill the outgoing European Union quota with our own production. We will develop cooperation in the Eurasian Union and develop relations with our Asian partners.

That is, if we deal with the issues of economic development, where money is not an end in itself, but is a tool for lending to production, then we can completely go through this year without a recession. On the contrary, we can make an economic boom out of this crisis, out of the departure of European competitors. Entrepreneurship boom. To do this you need to give loans.

It is necessary to realize the goals of increasing social welfare. And not through simple cash injections to people to support their lives, but through the creation of new industries, new jobs. The President has spoken about this many times, but as a result, what we have today in terms of measures to stimulate economic development is, in fact, a continuation of the Covid-related small relaxations in terms of regulation. In terms of reducing the bureaucratic pressure, there are probably no real opportunities for increasing import substitution investments and implementing long-term development programs, unfortunately.

Concluding my speech, I will say that the image of the future, it seems to me, is quite obvious. If we understand that before the end of this century, most likely, the American hybrid war will end in failure for them. In their Russophobia, which is in their subcortex, they may not realize this yet. Therefore, having China as their main enemy, they attacked us, since Russophobia is embedded in Anglo-Saxon geopolitics.

The geopolitics that American and English politicians teach is based on books from the 19th and 20th centuries - from Halford Mackinder to Zbigniew Brzezinski, and everywhere their main idea is "how to destroy Russia." Geopolitics is a classic pseudoscience on the topic "how to destroy Russia in any of its historical forms."

They became victims of their genetic Russophobic orientation. They lost to China in the trade war and now they're onto us. When Crimea was reunited with us, I repeatedly said that there would have been sanctions in any case. If they were reunited with Crimea or, on the contrary, disgraced, there would still be sanctions. And today's war, we also understand, was inevitable. It's just that 8 years ago it was possible to do without a war and take the entire southeast of Ukraine without firing a single shot, people themselves came to us.

Now we have to correct this delay at the cost of great effort and sacrifice.

But this conflict was inevitable precisely because of the genetic Russophobia of the American-British ruling elite, which is essentially the ideological and economic core of the Western world. And they seek to erase us, as they put it, without any equivocation, I would say.

Don't underestimate this. When the Polish prime minister says "erase," it means naturally erase, as was the case in 17, when the Russian Empire was erased. As it was after the Time of Troubles, when the Muscovite kingdom was erased. That is, there were precedents when Russia was erased - erased ideologically, culturally, right down to the destruction of all monuments that they could reach, to the burning of chronicles and the like.

This is their plan. They think Russia is the key to world domination. In their delusional minds this is true. In the basics of their Anglo-Saxon geopolitics, since the days of Mackinder, they teach that in order to control the world, you need to control Eurasia, they call it the big island. In Eurasia, the main one is in control. It's Russia.

Therefore, in order to control the world, Russia must be captured, crushed, and destroyed. Then the next goal is the destruction of Iran, this is absolutely obvious. And then they think that by besieging China from all sides and isolating it from the rest of the world, they will maintain dominance, maintain hegemony.

This is an absolutely utopian project, they will lose the war. They are already losing their key advantage before our eyes. Those same notorious sanctions, because of which we lost our foreign exchange reserves and our offshore business today does not know how to continue to work.

In fact, from the point of view of further prospects for a hybrid war, this is an ace of trump cards, because their main advantage was in issuing world currency. And then they played their trump card. They no longer have a world currency, no one trusts them anymore - neither politically, nor ideologically, nor economically. A mass flight from the dollar began.

The Chinese are selling dollar reserves. Their satellites are afraid to do this for now, but here whoever sells dollars faster will lose less. Absolutely obvious. Let's not forget that half of the dollars that Americans print on a huge scale are outside the United States. This wave of dollars is returning to America today. If previously it was believed that it was good that capital was flowing into the country, now the avalanche is clearly acquiring inflationary consequences and the collapse of the giant financial bubbles that make up the Western financial and economic system is not far off.

Today we are thinking about creating a new settlement currency that would be tied to exchange-traded goods. We are building a "One Train, One Way" alliance with China. We are trying to restore international law in Eurasian integration and strictly adhere to the principles of voluntariness and respect for sovereignty, mutual benefit, and transparency. That is, we are creating an attractive image of a new world order. New world economic order.

The trouble is that without rapid economic growth, our image of the future will not be convincing. Please note, our Eurasian ideologists, for example, Trubetskoy, they brilliantly foresaw at the end of the 20s of the last century the collapse of the Soviet Union, which was then just getting back on its feet.

He said that after the state of workers and peasants has exhausted itself, a new community will be formed, above class. The Soviet Union will lose its ideological core and collapse. Next will come the era of nationalism. The fragments of the Soviet Union will be seduced by nationalist ideas in order to bring our great country back together. And then it is necessary to overcome nationalism and eliminate Nazism, of course.

No national or other exclusivity should be allowed! And the basis for a new union can only be an understanding of the commonality of our history. Notice how the Chinese are intercepting the slogans of the Eurasians. China calls on all peoples to unite with a common destiny for humanity. What are "peoples of a common destiny"? These are the peoples of one country. This understanding of the common destiny of humanity is, in fact, the ideological basis of the great Eurasian partnership that our president is talking about.

But in order to be a leader in this partnership, and not a periphery, we need to ensure advanced rates of economic development. This requires an ideology of a common cause, a common good.

Concluding my speech, I will briefly say that this ideology must absorb the achievements of socialism. It is no coincidence that socialist ideology dominates in both China and India, and under different political structures. Socialism as the idea of the common good, where the main purpose of the state is to serve society. The state does not serve certain social groups, like our oligarchy or bureaucracy or anyone else. The state is seriously and truly engaged in improving public welfare.

Therefore, of course, the socialist idea must be present. Without it, the new way of life is impossible, and besides, it has already taken shape. Socialism must return again as the dominant ideology, the core of the global economic system of Southeast Asia. If we look at the Japanese model or the Korean one, we also see familiar features of socialist ideology. This is a planning state, a development state.

Regarding the issue of ethical values. The new technological order really challenges humanity in the sense that a transition to a post-humanoid state is technologically possible. The emergence of cyborgs, manipulation of consciousness, artificial intelligence. These are all signs of the transition to a post-human civilization, and if we lose our traditional ethical standards, if we allow this new oligarchic world government to dominate, we won't expect anything good. In this case, humanity will end.

And, since we are at the forefront of a hybrid war, we can really consider that the war with the West is for the fate of humanity. And the West today has no image of the future. General chipization, artificial intelligence and dehumanization, LGBT, destruction of the family, cessation of all forms of human identity - this is an image of death, not the future. This is what the West is bringing us. Since we are in direct confrontation with him, we can consider that we are really fighting for the preservation of humanity.

The question is what kind of ideology there should be. Obviously, it must be based on traditional values. In short, this should be the image of Christian socialism, which has already been largely vulgarized in Europe. With the understanding that we have not only Christian socialism, but also Islamic socialism, Buddhist socialism. I would call this ideology a social conservative synthesis. A combination of traditional moral values that grew out of great religions with the demands of social justice, a welfare state and a developmental state.

Thank you for your attention.